

1 2 3	GEOFFREY A. HANSEN Acting Federal Public Defender HEATHER R. ROGERS Assistant Federal Public Defender 160 West Santa Clara Street, Suite 575		
4	San Jose, CA 95113 Telephone: (408) 291-7753		
5	Counsel for Defendant LUIS RUIZ-LOPEZ		
6			
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 11-00749 LHK	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]ORDER CONTINUING HEARING DATE	
13	VS.		
14	LUIZ RUIZ-LOPEZ,))	
15	Defendant.))	
16			
17	STIPULATION		
18	Defendant Luis Ruiz-Lopez, by and through Assistant Federal Public Defender Heather		
19	R. Rogers, and the United States, by and through Special Assistant United States Attorney		
20	Carolyne Sanin, hereby stipulate that, with the Court's approval, the status hearing currently set		
21	for Wednesday, February 1, 2012, at 10:00 a.m., before the Honorable Lucy H. Koh, shall be		
22	continued to Wednesday, February 22, 2012, at 9:00 a.m.		
23	The reason for the requested continuance is the defense is awaiting the outcome of		
24	ongoing investigation in order to effectively advise Mr. Ruiz-Lopez regarding a proposed		
25	settlement. The parties therefore respectfully request a continuance to February 22, 2012, at 9:00		
26	a.m.		
	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Continuing Hearing, 11-00749 (LHK)	1	

1	The parties agree that the time between February 1, 2012, and February 22, 2012, may be		
2	excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv), for effective		
3	preparation of counsel.		
4	Dated: January 31, 2012		
5	HEATHER ROGERS		
6	Assistant Federal Public Defender		
7	Dated: January 31, 2012 /s/ CAROLYNE SANIN		
8	Special Assistant United States Attorney		
9			
10	[PROPOSED] ORDER		
11	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, upon stipulation of the parties, IT IS HEREBY		
12	ORDERED that the hearing currently set for Wednesday, February 1, 2012, at 10:00 a.m., before		
13	the Honorable Lucy H. Koh, shall be continued to Wednesday, February 22, 2012, at 9:00 a.m.		
14	THE COURT FINDS that failing to exclude the time between February 1, 2012, and		
15	February 22, 2012, would unreasonably deny counsel for the defendant reasonable time necessary		
16	for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. §§		
17	3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).		
18	THE COURT FINDS that the ends of justice served by excluding the time between		
19	February 1, 2012, and February 22, 2012, from computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh		
20	the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.		
21	THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time between February 1, 2012, and		
22	February 22, 2012, shall be excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §		
23	3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).		
24	//		
25	//		
26			
	Stipulation and [P roposed] Order Continuing Hearing, 11-00749 (LHK) 2		

Case 5:11-cr-00749-LHK Document 10 Filed 01/31/12 Page 3 of 3